

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/913,555	KAYAGAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuong Huynh	1644	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Phuong Huynh.

(3) _____.

(2) Judith L. Toffenetii.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10/3/04; 11/03/04

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The rejection under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,068,841.

Claims discussed:

56-57, 59, 60, 62, 73 and 154.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreed to the changes set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)